Case3:12-mc-80121-JSW Document9 Filed06/07/12 Page1 of 3

1	DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439					
2	dbergeson@be-law.com MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373					
3	mmorton@be-law.com JAIDEEP VENKATESAN, Bar No. 211386					
4	jvenkatesan@be-law.com BERGESON, LLP					
5	303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500 San Jose, CA 95110-2712					
6	Telephone: (408) 291-6200 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000					
7	ANDREW GROSSO, Esq., pro hac vice pending					
8	Agrosso@acm.org ANDREW GROSSO & ASSOCIATES					
9	Georgetown Place 1101 Thirtieth St., NW, Suite 300					
10	Washington, D.C. 20007 Telephone: (202) 298-6500 Facsimile: (202) 298-5599					
11						
12	Attorneys for Defendant					
13	PAXFIŘE, INC.					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
17						
18	BETSY FEIST,	MISC. Case No. CV12-80121 JSW (EDL)				
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND BRIEFING				
20	VS.	SCHEDULE AS MODIFIED				
21	RCN CORP AND PAXFIRE, INC.,	Mag. Judge: Elizabeth D. Laporte				
22	Defendants.	Ctrm: E, 15th Floor				
23	Defendants.					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	CTINULATION AND INDODOGED! OPDED					
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE	Misc. CV12-80121 JSW (EDI				

Misc. CV12-80121 JSW (EDL)

Case3:12-mc-80121-JSW Document9 Filed06/07/12 Page2 of 3

- 1				
1	Plaintiff Betsy Feist ("Plaintiff"), together with Defendant Paxfire, Inc. ("Defendant" or			
2	"Paxfire"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:			
3	WHEREAS on May 23, 2012, Plaintiff noticed a Motion to Quash Subpoenas to			
4	Consultants and for Protective Order. <u>D.I.</u> 1.;			
5	WHEREAS on May 30, 2012, the Honorable Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte			
6	scheduled a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for July 3, 2012. <u>D.I.</u> 6.;			
7	WHEREAS Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion is due on June 6, 2012, per Civi			
8	Local Rule 7-3;			
9	WHEREAS Plaintiff's Reply to the Motion is due on June 13, 2012, per Civil Local Rule			
10	7-3;			
11	WHEREAS the Parties have agreed that Defendant may file its Opposition to Plaintiff's			
12	Motion by June 11, 2012 and Plaintiff may file a Reply to that Opposition by June 18, 2012.			
13	WHEREAS the Parties so stipulate for the briefing of Plaintiff's Motion to Quash			
14	Subpoenas to Consultants and for Protective Order:			
15	1. Defendant may file an Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion by June 11, 2012.			
16	2. Plaintiff may file a Reply to the Opposition by June 18, 2012.			
17	3. This Stipulation does not affect any case management deadlines.			
18	SO STIPULATED AND AGREED			
19	Dated: June 5, 2012 BERGESON, LLP			
20				
21	Jaideep Venkatesan			
22	Attorneys for Defendant PAXFIRE, INC.			
23	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that			
24	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.			
25	Dated: June 5, 2012 MILBERG LLP			
26				
27	/ _{S/} Michelle Furukawa			
28	-1-			
- 1	L CTIDILLATION AND EDDODOCEDIODDED			

Case3:12-mc-80121-JSW Document9 Filed06/07/12 Page3 of 3

1			Attorneys for Plaintiff BETSY FEIST
2			
3	IT IS SO ORDERED		the motion to quash (doc. 1) shall be heard on July 10, 2012 in ith the other pending motion to quash (doc.7).
4			in the other pending motion to quasii (doc.7).
5	Dated:June	6, 2012	The Honorable Hizabeth D. Caporte
6			United States District Magistrate
7			Northern District of California
8			IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED
9			AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED Laporte Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte
10			
11			PRINT OF CE
12			DISTRICT
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19 20			
20			
22			
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$			
23 24			
24 25			
25 26			
20 27			
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$			
ړ o∟	l		